IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CAN IOCE DIVICION

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SANJO	DE DIVISION
In Re Intel Laptop Battery Litigation,	NO. C 09-02889 JW NO. C 10-00573 SC
Vicky Baker and Mike's Inc., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO RELATE CASES
Plaintiffs, v.	
Acer America Corporation, et al.,	
Defendants.	

Presently before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related.¹ Plaintiffs seek the Court's determination as to whether In Re Intel Laptop Battery Litigation, Case No. C 09-02889 JW ("First Action"), should be related to Vicky Baker and Mike's Inc. v. Acer America Corp., et al., Case No. C 10-00573 SC ("Second Action"). Plaintiffs represent that counsel for Plaintiffs in the Second Action as well as Defendants consent to having these Actions related.²

Civil Local Rule 3-12(a) provides:

An action is related to another when:

- (1) The actions concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event; and
- (2) It appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges.

¹ (See Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related, hereafter, "Motion to Relate," Docket Item No. 64 in Case No. 09-02889 JW.)

² (<u>See</u> Declaration of Eric H. Gibbs in Support of Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related ¶ 4, Docket Item No. 65 in Case No. 09-02889 JW.)

Case 5:10-cv-00573-JW Document 44 Filed 03/11/10 Page 2 of 3

Here, the Court finds that the Actions involve substantially the same parties and events. The
allegations are directed primarily against Defendant Intel Corp. ("Intel") in both actions (indeed,
Intel is the only Defendant in the Second Action). The gravamen of both actions is that Defendant
Intel engaged in unfair business practices by using another company as a front to "independently"
test Intel's products with favorable results for Intel. In light of the substantial similarity of parties
and events underlying the claims, the Court finds that there is a risk of "an unduly burdensome
duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different
Judges." Thus, the Court finds that the cases are related within the meaning of Rule 3-12(a).
Accordingly, the Clerk of Court shall immediately relate In Re Intel Laptop Battery
Litigation, Case No. C 09-02889 JW, and Vicky Baker and Mike's Inc. v. Acer America Corp., et
al., Case No. C 10-00573 SC.

Dated: March 11, 2010

JAMES WARE United States District Judge

28

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO: 1 2 Andrew S. Friedman afriedman@bffb.com Austin P. Tighe austin@feazell-tighe.com 3 Dylan Hughes dsh@girardgibbs.com Elaine A. Ryan eryan@bffb.com Eric H. Gibbs ehg@girardgibbs.com 4 Geoffrey Alan Munroe gam@girardgibbs.com Laurence D. King lking@kaplanfox.com 5 Manfred Patrick Muecke mmuecke@bffb.com 6 Mario Man-Lung Choi mchoi@kaplanfox.com Mark S. Reich mreich@csgrr.com 7 Patricia Nicole Syverson psyverson@bffb.com Perry J. Narancic pnarancic@nk-pc.com 8 Philip A. Leider pleider@perkinscoie.com Raoul Dion Kennedy rkennedy@skadden.com 9 Richard John Zuromski Richard. Zuromski@skadden.com Robert M. Rothman rrothman@lerachlaw.com 10 Shawn A. Williams shawnw@csgrr.com Timothy J. Franks tfranks@perkinscoie.com Todd David Carpenter tcarpenter@bffb.com 11 Andrew J. Cross across@careydanis.com 12 Anthony L Marks amarks@perkinscoie.com Charles A. Newman cnewman@sonnenschein.com 13 Dan Levi Bagatell dbagatell@perkinscoie.com Hannah Preston hpreston@sonnenschein.com James Patrick Schaefer jschaefer@skadden.com 14 Jason E. Maschmann jmaschmann@sonnenschein.com 15 Joan Elizabeth Shreffler jshreffler@skadden.com Joseph Eugene Mais jmais@perkinscoie.com 16 Kimball R. Anderson kanderson@winston.com Raoul Dion Kennedy rkennedy@skadden.com 17 Robert H. Shultz rshultz@hrva.com Roger K. Heidenreich rheidenreic@sonnenschein.com 18 19 20 **Dated: March 11, 2010** Richard W. Wieking, Clerk 21 By:_ /s/ JW Chambers 22 Elizabeth Garcia **Courtroom Deputy** 23 24 25 26 27